UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	I NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
10/566,522	01/27/2006	Yoshito Jin	96790P520	5835	
	7590 09/30/200 KOLOFF TAYLOR &	EXAMINER			
1279 OAKMEA	AD PARKWAY	VALENTINE, JAMI M			
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2894			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		09/30/2008	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Ар	plication No.	o. Applicant(s)					
			/566,522		JIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Ex	aminer		Art Unit				
		JAI	MI M. VALENTINE		2894				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	ication appears	on the cover sheet	t with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) file	ad on 20 Augus	t 2007						
·	•	2b)⊠ This acti							
′=		<i>′</i> —		atters pro	secution as to the	e merits is			
-	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
	on of Claims	·	•	·					
· ·		annlication							
,	Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
		ire witharawir ii	om consideration.						
•	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> are subject to restricti	on and/or alog	ion roquiroment						
0)[	Ciallin(s) <u>1-32</u> are subject to restrict	on and/or elect	on requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
•	The specification is objected to by th								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some col None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
2)  Notice Notice (3)  Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Foration Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Too(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	Paper N						

Art Unit: 2894

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- **Group I.** Claims 1-22 and 30, drawn to a bistable resistance value acquisition device, classified in class 257, subclass 107.
- **Group II.** Claims 23-29 and 31-32, drawn to a method of manufacturing a bistable resistance value acquisition device, classified in class 438, subclass 800.
- 2. The inventions listed as **Groups I and II** do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The common concept linking together independent claims 1 and 23 is a device including a first metal oxide layer which is made of a metal oxide containing at least two metals, is formed on a substrate, and has a predetermined thickness; a first electrode which is formed on one surface of said first metal oxide layer; and a second electrode which is formed on the other surface of said first metal oxide layer. This concept is already known in the art. JP 08-306806, for example discloses a device that obtains two stable resistance values. It comprises at least a first metal oxide layer that is a ferroelectric with made of BiTiO<sub>12</sub> [0014] of a predetermined thickness, formed on a substrate, and first and second electrodes formed on different surfaces of the first metal oxide layer. These

Art Unit: 2894

groups of claims have no common or corresponding special technical features and define two different prospective inventions not linked by a single general inventive concept. The application does not, therefore meet the requirement of unity of invention.

- 3. The inventions from **Group I** and **Group II** are related as product made and process of making. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process, such as, for example a process that includes deposition by evaporation, rather than the use of a plasma.
- 4. Further, Group I contains the following related inventions
  - **Group I-A.** Claims 1-22, drawn to a bistable resistance value acquisition device including a metal oxide layer, classified in class 257, subclass 107.
  - **Group I-B.** Claims 30, drawn to a metal oxide thin film, classified in class 257, subclass 295.
- 5. Inventions of **Group I-A** and **Group I-B** are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability. Specifically, the subcombination requires that the

Art Unit: 2894

metal oxide film include a plurality of microcrystalline grains, while the combination does not The subcombination has separate utility such as use as metal oxide film.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

- 6. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:
  - (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
  - (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
  - (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
  - (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
  - (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Art Unit: 2894

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include

(i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 2894

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jami M. Valentine, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-

9786. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 8:30am-7pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kenneth Parker can be reached on (571) 272-2298. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jami M Valentine, Ph.D./

Examiner

Art Unit 2894

/JMV/

/Kimberly D Nguyen/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2894